

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,712 09/18/2003		Richard E. Gady	60,130-1890; 00MRA0574	9034
26096 7.	590 07/19/2004	EXAMINER		
CARLSON, C	GASKEY & OLDS, I	DEPUMPO, DANIEL G		
SUITE 350	II EE ROI IB	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BIRMINGHA	M, MI 48009	3611		
		DATE MAILED: 07/19/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						91		
			Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	7		
Office Action Summany		10/666,712		GADY ET AL.				
Oni	ce Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit			
			Daniel G. D		3611			
The M. Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this commu	nication appo	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠ Respon	sive to communication(s) fil	ed on <u>03 Ju</u>	ne 2004.					
2a) ☐ This ac	tion is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This	action is no	n-final.				
\\\.\\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of C	laims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 15-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 15-33 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Pap	ers							
10)∐ The dra Applicar Replace	ecification is objected to by the wing(s) filed on is/are not may not request that any objected the drawing sheet(s) including the declaration is objected.	e: a) acce ection to the ong the correction	epted or b)[drawing(s) be ion is require	e held in abeyance. Se d if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C			
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice of Draft 3) Information Dis	rences Cited (PTO-892) sperson's Patent Drawing Review sclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o lail Date <u>9/18/03</u> .			4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:		⁻ O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/666,712

Art Unit: 3611

1. In view of the amendment filed June 3, 2004, the reasons for requiring restriction have been overcome. All claims have been examined and are addressed below. If the claims are amended in the future to be properly restrictable, the restriction requirement will be reinstated.

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 26-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 26, line 1, "during wheel" is indefinite.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the

Application/Control Number: 10/666,712

Art Unit: 3611

reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

5. Claims 15-23 and 25-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yasuda.

Yasuda teaches a device and method as claimed. The device includes an input shaft 6, a first axle output shaft 20, a second axle output shaft (connects 32 to 33), and a controller 1. The controller performs traction control by controlling power or braking (col. 3, lines 28-33). This traction control step inherently brings the axles shafts within a common rotational speed range. After the traction control step, the clutch 31 is activated to couple the axle output shafts together as discussed at col. 3, lines 48-53.

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yasuda.

As set forth above, Yasuda teaches substantially all that is claimed, but does not specifically disclose whether the gear assembly includes three gears as claimed. Official Notice is taken that transfer cases commonly include three gears as claimed. It would have been obvious to use such a transfer case, due to their ready commercial availability and known suitability for this purpose.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,712 Page 4

Art Unit: 3611

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel G. DePumpo whose telephone number is 703 308-1113. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 703 308 1113. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel G. DePumpo Primary Examiner Art Unit 3611

dgd 7/6/04